#### **1** Original research papers

2 UAV Environmental Perception and Autonomous

**3 Obstacle Avoidance: A Deep Learning and Depth** 

- 4 Camera Combined Solution
- 5 Dashuai Wang<sup>a,1</sup>, Wei Li<sup>a,\*</sup>, Xiaoguang Liu<sup>b</sup>, Nan Li<sup>c</sup>, Chunlong Zhang<sup>a</sup>

6 <sup>a</sup> College of Engineering, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100083, P. R. China

- 7 <sup>b</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California at Davis,
- 8 Davis, CA, 95616, USA
- 9 <sup>c</sup> Shenzhen Institute of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics for Society, Shenzhen, 518172,
   10 P. R. China

#### 11 ABSTRACT

12 In agriculture, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) have shown great 13 potential for plant protection. Uncertain obstacles randomly distributed 14 in the unstructured farmland usually pose significant collision risks to 15 flight safety. In order to improve the UAV's intelligence and minimize 16 the obstacle's adverse impacts on operating safety and efficiency, we put 17 forward a comprehensive solution which consists of deep-learning based 18 object detection, image processing, RGB-D information fusion and Task 19 Control System (TCS). Taking full advantages of both deep learning and 20 depth camera, this solution allows the UAV to perceive not only the 21 presence of obstacles, but also their attributes like category, profile and 22 3D spatial position. Based on the object detection results, collision 23 avoidance strategy generation method and the corresponding calculation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Guangdong Provincial Key Lab of Robotics and Intelligent System, Shenzhen

Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen, 518055, P. R. China

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors.

*E-mail addresses:* <u>ds.wang1@siat.ac.cn</u> (D. Wang), <u>liww@cau.edu.cn</u> (W. Li ), <u>lxgliu@ucdavis.edu</u> (X. Liu), <u>linan@cuhk.edu.cn</u> (N. Li), <u>zcl1515@cau.edu.cn</u> (C. Zhang)

24 approach of optimal collision avoidance flight path are elaborated 25 detailly. A series of experiments are conducted to verify the UAV's 26 environmental perception ability and autonomous obstacle avoidance 27 performance. Results show that the average detection accuracy of CNN 28 model is 75.4% and the mean time cost for processing single image is 29 53.33ms. Additionally, we find that the prediction accuracy of obstacle's 30 profile and position depends heavily on the relative distance between the 31 object and the depth camera. When the distance is between 4.5m and 32 8.0m, errors of object's depth data, width and height are -0.53m, -0.26m 33 and -0.24m respectively. Outcomes of simulation flight experiments 34 indicated that the UAV can autonomously determine optimal obstacle 35 avoidance strategy and generate distance-minimized flight path based on the results of RGB-D information fusion. The proposed solution has 36 37 extensive potential to enhance the UAV's environmental perception and 38 autonomous obstacle avoidance abilities.

### **39 KEYWORDS**

40 UAVs, deep learning, depth camera, object detection, environmental41 perception, obstacle avoidance

#### 42 1. Introduction

43 Over the past few years, UAVs, also known as drones, are no longer 44 exclusively associated with military and defense applications, but have 45 been successfully applied in many civilian fields(Floreano et al., 2015), 46 including power-line inspection, rescue aid, crop surveillance (Fernando 47 et al., 2018), crop yield assessment (Feng et al., 2020) and plant protection 48 (Tetila et al., 2020). Plant protection, especially pests and diseases control 49 through spraying pesticide (Ahmad et al., 2020; Liao et al., 2019; Xu et al., 50 2019), is an important link in the process of agricultural production.

51 Compared with tradition ground-walking plant protection equipment,

52 UAVs have distinct advantages in terms of flexible-terrain-adaptability 53 and high-efficiency (Xue et al., 2016). Currently, with the help of some 54 conventional sensors, reliable control algorithms and obstacle's location 55 information measured in advance, UAVs have already been able to 56 autonomously perform specific tasks along detected or preset flight routes 57 (Basso et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2019). However, there are many unknown 58 obstacles in the unstructured farmland environment, some of them are 59 stationary, others are dynamically moving, which could pose rigorous 60 challenges to the drone's active cognitive ability. So far, it remains a great 61 challenge to endow the UAV with certain environmental perception and 62 obstacle avoidance abilities so that it can automatically generate the 63 optimal collision avoidance strategy and trajectory according to obstacle's 64 specific category, profile and 3D spatial position.

65 Common challenges in all kinds of applications of UAVs are safety and 66 automation. Many researchers and engineers are committed to eliminating 67 these concerns and making them capable of satisfying the individual 68 requirements on different occasions (Adrian et al., 2020). The top priority 69 for flight safety is that the drones are capable to sense and understand the 70 surrounding environment proactively. The most intuitive way to achieve 71 environmental perception is to obtain as much detailed environmental 72 information as possible. Generally, some common sensors, such as radar, 73 LiDAR, ultrasonic, and infrared rangefinders, have been widely used on 74 UAVs to detect the existence and distance of obstacles (Jongho et al., 75 2020). However, given inherent limitations like resolution, sensing range 76 and light sensitivity, they can only provide very rough information to 77 UAVs. In addition, monocular cameras are also commonly used on drones. 78 Combined with image processing technology, they can help drones 79 understand the environment in RGB space. But light sensitive and time-

80 consuming features limit their performance in outdoor applications. 81 Therefore, the lack of knowledge of ambient properties leads to the 82 mismatch between UAV autonomous flight ability and real demand. In the 83 wake of the development of sensors integration and image processing 84 technologies, RGB-D cameras are becoming affordable and applicable for 85 robots to sense the world in higher dimensions. Recently emerged RGB-86 D cameras like Intel RealSense D435, with visible features of lightweight, 87 high accuracy and light insensitivity, display great potentials to be an 88 effective means to sense flight scenarios. Besides of three channels of 89 RGB information, RGB-D cameras present an extra channel of depth 90 information, which makes it possible to obtain obstacle's color, profile and 91 position features simultaneously. However, how to promptly and 92 effectively extract the most useful information from all features remains a 93 huge challenge. In recent years, some state-of-the-art Convolutional 94 Neural Networks (CNN) and object detection algorithms have been 95 proposed as the prosperity of deep learning (Yann et al., 2018). For 96 example, in terms of classification accuracy and inference speed, YOLO 97 (Redmon et al., 2018) and SSD (Liu et al., 2016) have shown high 98 performance in the field of object detection. Therefore, it would be a wise 99 strategy to extract obstacle's attributes by combining the deep learning 100 algorithms and RGB-D cameras. Many researchers have focused on 101 improving the object detection accuracy by fusing all the information from 102 four channels (Loghmani et al., 2019; Zia et al., 2017). For instance, Single 103 Stream Recurrent Convolution Neural Network (SSRCNN) and Depth 104 Recurrent Convolution Neural Network (DRCNN) to detect and render 105 salient object for RGB-D images were put forward (Liu et al., 2019). 106 Evaluations on four datasets demonstrated that the presented method is 107 excellent in discriminating depth feature and fusing RGB and depth

information. Existing studies mainly use depth information to improve
classification accuracy. However, in agriculture, there are no reports about
the implementation of deep learning and depth cameras on drones to sense
the multi-dimensional attributes of obstacles.

112 As for the automation of UAVs, two important contents are autonomous 113 navigation and obstacle avoidance. Global Positioning System (GPS) 114 usually plays a vital role in navigation systems which guide UAVs with 115 accurate spatial position coordinates. However, GPS signals could be 116 weak or totally lost in some scenarios like urban areas, low altitude flights 117 or indoor operations (Mohta et al., 2018; Perez-Grau et al., 2018). Based 118 on the automatic navigation system, in order to ensure the efficiency and 119 effectiveness, it is necessary to discuss the subject about how to generate 120 and determine the most appropriate strategy to circumvent obstacles with 121 their individual properties in mind. There are various optimization 122 algorithms with different advantages and disadvantages for flight path 123 planning (Shao et al., 2018). However, even with the applications of 124 navigation systems, high-performance sensors and flight path optimization 125 algorithms, it is still challenging for UAVs to reliably perceive the 126 surrounding environment and autonomously navigate between target 127 locations. Furthermore, it becomes more difficult to avoid unknow 128 obstacles with only little or even no prior knowledge of the operating 129 environment.

Aiming at promoting the application of UAVs in the field of plant protection, we develop a novel solution which would be helpful to further ensure operating safety and efficiency by improving the level of intelligence and automation. Contributions of this research can be summarized as follows:

- 135 a) A comprehensive solution which consists of deep-learning based 136 object detection, image processing, RGB-D information fusion 137 and task control system is proposed to enhance the UAV's abilities 138 of environmental perception and autonomous collision avoidance. 139 Combining deep learning with depth camera, we put forward a b) 140 method of RGB-D information fusion. Based on this, the UAV not 141 only can sense the existences of obstacles, but also able to perceive 142 what and where they are. 143 c) Taking single tree for example, the generation approach of specific 144 obstacle avoidance strategy and the corresponding flight path
- planning method are elaborated on the basis of the obstacle'sattributes.
- 147 d) A customized dataset is built to train and evaluate the CNN model
  148 with YOLO V3 object detection algorithm.

## 149 2. Materials

## 150 **2.1. Dataset**

151 Since there is no existing open-source dataset containing the specific 152 obstacles distributed in farmland, we establish our own dataset by 153 combining the means of searching online and filming in field. The dataset 154 contains 3,700 samples that can be classified into five categories, i.e., 155 person, tree, building, power line/tower and drone. Each category accounts 156 for the same proportion. For the sake of training CNN model with 157 supervised learning, the categories and bounding boxes of each sample are 158 manually labelled in advance. Because that the inconsistence of image size 159 may cause adverse impact on the model training process, all samples are 160 cropped to the unified resolution of 416×416 before annotating the target 161 objects. Furthermore, the dataset is divided into two parts: the training set 162 and the validation set, which contains 3,000 and 700 samples respectively.

## 163 2.2. Workstation

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

3. Systems and methods

164	The training and testing processes of the CNN model are implemented
165	on our workstation whose operating system is Ubuntu 16.04 LTS. The
166	major specifications of the workstation are as follows: GPU: NVIDIA
167	GTX1080; CPU: Intel Core i7-8700k; RAM: Corsair 16G; Hard Disk:
168	Samsung SSD 500G. Within the PyCharm developing environment, we
169	build the CNN architecture with TensorFlow computational framework in
170	Python programming language. In addition, the object detection algorithm
171	runs on the GPU which has been configured with CUDA 9.0 parallel
172	programming platform and CuDNN 7.1 accelerating package.
173	2.3. Simulation environment
174	A simulation environment, which is composed of Intel RealSense SDK,
175	virtual UAV, ArduPilot, QGroundControl, TCS and customized scripts, is
176	built in the Ubuntu 16.04 LTS operating system. With the help of multiple
177	useful packages, such as ROS, MAVROS, OpenCV, etc., customized
178	scripts are developed for acquiring and optimizing color and depth images,

running deep learning algorithms, generating the optimal avoidance

strategy, planning flight path and dispatching multi-point flight tasks and

obstacle avoidance procedures. In addition, the ground control station

named as QGroundControl is employed to observe and record real-time

flight parameters and to monitor the executing processes of the flight

missions. It is worth noting that the flight control program running on the

workstation can be directly transplanted to the flight controller without any

modification by right of the hardware compatibility of ArduPiot. This

means that the simulation results can effectively represent the actual

situation without considering the environmental parameter interference.

# 7

190 The first part of this section presents an overview of our proposed 191 solution. In the subsequent six parts, the methods of object detection, depth 192 data extraction, RGB-D information fusion, obstacle avoidance strategy, 193 flight path planning and autonomous flight control are introduced 194 respectively.

## 195 3.1. Overall solution

In order to grant the UAV with certain environment perception and
collision avoidance abilities and ensure its flight safety, we propose an
overall solution which is shown as Fig. 1.

199 An Intel RealSense D435 mounted on the UAV is employed to sense 200 the world by simultaneously capturing color and depth images of the flight 201 scene. First, the color image is fed into CNN which has been trained based 202 on our customized dataset to obtain the potential obstacle's classification 203 and bounding box. Then, mapping the results of object detection on the 204 optimized depth image to extract the obstacle's profile and 3D spatial 205 information. By fusing the outcomes of object detection and the extracted 206 depth information, the UAV can determine the optimal avoidance strategy 207 and calculate the distance-minimized obstacle avoidance trajectory 208 according to the obstacle's unique attributes like category, profile and 209 position. Finally, with our novel TCS and customized scripts, the UAV 210 can execute straight-line flight task between multiple task-points while 211 avoiding obstacles autonomously.



213 FIGURE 1. An overview of environmental perception and obstacle avoidance solution.

214 **3.2. Object detection** 

215 In this study, YOLO V3 (Redmon et al., 2018), one of the state-of-the-216 art CNN models, is employed to detect the obstacle's category and 217 bounding box. YOLO V3 with the darknet-53 backbone, consists of 75 218 convolutional layers. And, to non-linearize the model while avoiding 219 overfitting, each one except the last three convolutional layers is followed 220 by Batch Normalization and Leaky ReLU activation function. By means 221 of up-sampling and concatenation, YOLO V3 can output three feature 222 maps with different scales and the best one would be selected according to 223 the size of potential obstacle for further classification prediction and 224 bounding box regression. Besides, it is especially suitable for occasions 225 with high real-time requirements due to its fast detecting speed and 226 relatively high detecting accuracy.

227 Generally, the larger capacity of the dataset has, the less likely the 228 overfitting will occur, and the better generalization and robustness of the 229 model will be. However, due to overwhelming time and effort cost, it is 230 difficult to have relatively abundant samples with pre-known annotations 231 which may limit the improvement of the detection accuracy of the CNN 232 model to some extent. Transfer learning (Weiss et al., 2016) could be 233 adopted to facilitate the convergency speed and improve the model's 234 robustness especially when the customized dataset is similar or partially 235 overlapping with the open-source dataset. Official YOLO V3 was trained 236 based on the COCO dataset (Lin et al., 2014) which contents more than 80 237 classes among which is the PERSON class. Therefore, the official weights 238 were utilized in the training process of the model involved in this research 239 to improve its predication accuracy and convergency speed.

240 The training process is separated into two steps. First, import the official 241 pre-trained weights and freeze the last three convolutional layers, iterate 242 200 epochs with the initial learning rate of  $10^{-3}$  and batch size of 32. Next, 243 unfreeze the last three convolutional layers, iterate 200 epochs again with 244 the initial learning rate of  $10^{-4}$  and the batch size of 8 to finetune the model. 245 During the training process, we use ReduceLROnPlateau callback 246 function to multiply the learning rate by a constant of 0.5 as long as the 247 training loss stops to decline in 10 consequent iterations. Meanwhile, the 248 Tensorboard callback function is applied to dynamically observe and save 249 the model parameters

#### 250 **3.3. Depth information extraction**

251 To eliminate noises, data of depth image has been optimized by Spatial 252 Edge-Preserving Filter and Holes Filling Filter (referred to the Intel 253 RealSense SDK 2.0) before depth information extraction. Considering that 254 the gray value of each pixel of the depth image is linearly related to the 255 distance, then the concrete distance between the target object and the 256 camera can be extracted by picking the gray value of the pixel at the 257 specific position. Based on the method of object detection elaborated in 258 section 3.2, the most intuitive and reliable position is the center of 259 bounding box (expressed as  $P_c$  below). In some cases, taking the depth 260 data at  $P_{\rm c}$  as the desired distance could be practical. However, taking into 261 account the uncertainties of object's attribute as well as environmental 262 condition, there are some undesirable cases in which the bounding boxes 263 are larger, smaller, offset or even failed (as shown in Fig.2). In addition, 264 one of the limits of YOLO V3 is that it can only output rectangular 265 bounding box. This means that it is sensitive to image distortion. While, as 266 the RGB-D camera is mounted on the UAV, object can be slanted in the 267 color image because of the dynamic change of the UAV's attitude. In this

268 case, depth data at  $P_c$  could become unreliable or even invalid. To remedy 269 this defect, we pay additional attention to the object's gravity center, 270 expressed as  $P_g$ , by performing local image processing on the area 271 surrounded by the bounding box.



FIGURE 2. Three scenarios demonstrating the relative position between  $P_c$  and  $P_g$ . (a) Normal case. (b) The predicted bounding box of the object slants in image. (c) The bounding box is larger than the one in ideal case. From top to bottom, they are color images, depth images, gray images inside the bounding boxes and binary images with contours of object. The blue point and red point in binary image indicate  $P_c$  and  $P_g$ respectively.

272

279 This study customizes a specific strategy to improve the accuracy and 280 reliability of the depth information acquisition considering the differences 281 of  $P_{\rm c}$  and  $P_{\rm g}$ . This strategy is detailed as follows: when the variation of two 282 points in both height and width directions under image pixel coordinates 283 is less than 5 pixels, the average depth data at  $P_{\rm c}$  and  $P_{\rm g}$  will be considered 284 as the true value; when the variation surpasses 5 pixels, the depth data at 285  $P_{\rm g}$  will be seen as the real value; when the extraction of  $P_{\rm g}$  fails, the depth 286 data at  $P_c$  is regarded as the real value; when the depth data at  $P_c$  is void,

287 then, the average value of all valid data on horizontal centerline of the

bounding box will be adopted.

#### **289 3.4. RGB-D information fusion**

In order to simplify the calculation process, we establish three assumptions: (i). the intrinsic parameters of the camera are pre-known; (ii). the imaging plane of the camera is parallel to the scene plane of the object; (iii). the optical axis is inward through the center of the image plane. Under the above assumptions, the real-scene spatial coordinate information of any point selected from the image plane can be calculated following (1). This formula is derived from the principle of pinhole imaging.

$$\begin{cases} x_{s} = \frac{z \times p_{x} \times p_{s}}{f} \\ y_{s} = \frac{z \times p_{y} \times p_{s}}{f} \\ z_{s} = z \end{cases}$$
(1)

where, *z* is the vertical distance between the scene and the camera; *f* is the focal length of the color camera;  $p_x$  is the number of pixels in the horizontal direction of the image plane relative to the optical axis;  $p_y$  is the number of pixels in the vertical direction;  $p_s$  is the physical size of pixels of the color camera;  $x_{s,y_s}$ , and  $z_s$  are the spatial coordinates of the specific point in real scene plane.

303 After obtaining the coordinates of each vertex of the bounding box, the304 width and height of the object could be obtained following (2).

$$\begin{cases} w_o = |x_{ur} - x_{ul}| \\ h_o = |y_{ll} - y_{ll}| \end{cases}$$
(2)

305 where,  $w_0$  is the width of the object;  $x_{ur}$  is the *X*-axis of upper-right vertex 306 of the bounding box;  $x_{ul}$  is the *X*-axis of upper-left vertex;  $h_0$  is the height 307 of the object;  $y_{ll}$  is the *Y*-axis of lower-left vertex;  $y_{ul}$  is the *Y*-axis of upper-308 left vertex.

## **309 3.5. Obstacle avoidance strategies**

310 Exclusive and specific collision avoidance strategies should be adopted 311 according to the results of object detection and RGB-D information fusion 312 since different kinds of obstacles pose distinct extents of risks to drone's 313 flight safety. Environmental sensing method based on deep learning and 314 the Intel RealSense D435 depth camera can simultaneously perform object 315 detection and 3D information acquisition. However, because of light 316 condition change, obstacle's attribute difference, and the depth camera's 317 measurement range limit, there are some situations in which the target 318 category and depth information cannot be acquired at the same time. The 319 detailed analysis is as follows:

320 If there are no obstacles on the flight path or the obstacles are far away, 321 no information will be obtained through object detection and RGB-D 322 information fusion. When some obstacles appear ahead, but the distances 323 exceed the depth camera's sensing range, then, only their categories would 324 be available. When the distances are within the sensing range and the main 325 contours of these obstacles can be presented within the field of view (FOV), 326 then, their categories, spatial positions, and profiles can be obtained 327 through our solution simultaneously. When obstacles are too close that 328 their images completely fill the FOV, the distance information from depth 329 image could be unreliable, and it is usually difficult to identify their 330 categories.

The FOV is delimited into four parts which could be listed from far to near as clear area, warning area, action area and emergency area, as shown in Fig.3. In detail, clear area means there are no obstacles in front, and it is safe to keep flying with current flight parameters; in warning area, the drone can sense potential collision risks ahead, but has no knowledge of where it is, it just remembers the category of the potential obstacle and reduces flight speed if necessary; the action area is defined as the region where the drone would take specific obstacle avoidance actions according
to concrete attributes of obstacles; if the obstacle appears in emergency
area, the drone would stop and hover at current position immediately and
wait for the intervention by pilot.



FIGURE 3 FOV division result considering the sensing range limit of depth camera and the outcomes of object detection.

342343

344

345 In this section, we define some optimal collision avoidance strategies in 346 advance according to the results of object detection when obstacles are in 347 action area. In detail, for short small trees or buildings, the drone will not 348 adjust flight direction, only change flight altitude to cross the obstacle; for 349 tall and large trees or powerline poles/towers, it will turn left or right to 350 avoid obstacles while maintaining current flight altitude; when a person or 351 drone appears on the drone's flight path, it will immediately hover at 352 current position and send alarming messages to the pilot.

353 Scattered trees in the field are the most common obstacles causing 354 collisions risks for the drone. Therefore, taking a single tree as example, 355 we explicitly illustrate a method about how to calculate the relative 356 position between the tree and drone and then predict the optimal collision 357 avoidance strategy in the light of the results of objection detection and 358 RGB-D information fusion. As shown in Fig.4, an image plane is 359 represented by a rectangular which has been split into four quadrants 360 homogeneously. We set the origin of image coordinate system  $(X_i, Y_i)$  at 361 the upper left vertex of the image, while the origin of UAV's body 362 coordinate system ( $X_d$ ,  $Y_d$ ,  $Z_d$ ) at the center. The positive direction of  $X_d$  is 363 consistent with  $X_i$ , and  $Z_d$  points to the negative direction of  $Y_i$ .  $Y_d$ , identical 364 to the forward flight direction of UAV, is indicated by the vertical inward 365 at the image center. The red dotted rectangular with side length of 2m is 366 deemed to be the minimum safely-passing-area.



368 FIGURE 4 Principles for selecting obstacle avoidance strategies according to the 369 location of the object's bounding box in the image coordinate system. The red arrows 370 denote the flight direction according to the corresponding collision avoidance 371 strategies, while the cross sign indicates a risk-free obstacle. dx is the relative distance 372 between the left (or right) boundary of minimum safely-passing-area and right (or left) 373 boundary of bounding box;  $d_z$  is the relative distance between the lower boundary of 374 minimum safely-passing-area and upper boundary of bounding box. Both dx and dz 375 present the relative position in UAV's body coordinate system.

When the center of the bounding box of a tree locates in the upper-left area, then the distance between the bounding box's right border and the safely-passing-area's left border, marked as  $d_x$ , can be extracted according to (1). If  $d_x$  is positive, the UAV would ignore the existence of tree and

380	continue to execute flight mission with the current flight parameters. If $d_x$
381	is negative, the UAV would turn right with a certain distance to detour the
382	tree. Similar obstacle avoidance strategy is also applicable when the center
383	of the bounding box is in upper-right area. When the center of the bounding
384	box is in lower-left area, the $d_z$ which represents the distance between the
385	bounding box's top border and the safely-passing-area's bottom border
386	would also be calculated. If it is positive, the drone would pass directly,
387	otherwise, the $d_x$ would be regarded as the main basis for determining
388	whether there are collision risks or not. When $d_x$ is positive, then the
389	obstacle is beyond the safely-passing-area. If $d_x$ and $d_z$ are both negative,
390	the UAV would leap forward with a certain distance to bypass the tree.
391	Similar obstacle avoidance strategy is also applicable to the circumstance
392	in which the bounding box center locates in lower-right area. It is worth
393	noting that although the horizontal displacement and leap forward obstacle
394	avoidance strategies are applicable when the boundary box of the obstacle
395	locates in the lower area of the image plane, we still prefer the leap forward
396	strategy. The main reason is it generates much less instability comparing
397	with horizontal displacement strategies. This benefits from the fact that
398	leap forward strategy only involves the change of flight altitude, but not
399	has the change of attitude which is the main cause of the sway of pesticide
400	solution in the tank. $d_x$ and $d_z$ are two important parameters for flight path
401	planning (described in detail in 3.6), which represent horizontal and
402	vertical displacement respectively.

We present two examples in Fig.5. The first example demonstrates the predicted bounding box center located at the upper-left area. The orientation of the red line suggests that turn-right collision avoidance strategy is adopted, and the closer the tree is to the drone, the longer the red line is. The second instance indicates the case in which the tree locates

- 408 at the lower-right area and the leap forward collision avoidance strategy
- 409 should be executed.



FIGURE 5 Specific obstacle avoidance strategies in two example scenarios where the
 centers of predicted bounding boxes located at upper-left and lower-right area in the
 image coordinate system respectively.

### 414 **3.6.** Flight path planning

410

415 After the obstacle avoidance strategy have been explicated, the next 416 question is how to generate an optimal collision avoidance trajectory to 417 minimize the adverse impact on the effectiveness and efficiency of the 418 drone. Figure.6 depicts how to calculate the offset under three 419 circumstances in which right turn, left turn and leap forward detouring 420 strategies are needed to be implemented respectively.

421 To make it clear, in this section we take the left turn obstacle avoidance 422 strategy to avoid a single tree as an example. Geodetic coordinate system 423 and UAV airframe coordinate system are established separately in order to 424 describe the relative position between the UAV and the tree. The origin of 425 the geodetic coordinate system  $O_e$  is located at the starting point of the 426 UAV's flight task, with X<sub>e</sub> pointing to the East and Y<sub>e</sub> pointing to the North. 427 The origin of the UAV's airframe coordinate system is located at the center 428 of gravity, as  $X_d$  representing the right side of the UAV and the  $Y_d$  pointing 429 to the forward flight direction. Both  $Z_e$  and  $Z_d$  are coincident with the

430 direction of increasing altitude. In order to simplify the generation of 431 collision avoidance path and clearly illustrate the method of calculating the 432 coordinates of task-points, we proposed some hypothesis or 433 preconditions:(i). The obstacles exist independently; (ii). The outer 434 contour of the cross section of the obstacle is round; (iii). The starting 435 position of obstacle avoidance task is 2m away from the obstacle; (iv). 436 Following the principle of minimizing the total distance during obstacle 437 avoidance task.

438 As shown in Fig.6-a, supposing that the drone is performing a multi-439 task-points straight-line flight mission in the direction of  $O_eP_0$ . When it 440 reaches point  $P_0$ , the single tree enters the action area where its 441 classification, height, width and position can be obtained at the same time. 442 Then point  $P_1(x_1, y_1, z_1)$  that is 2m from the tree is defined as the starting 443 point of the obstacle avoidance task. In addition, the coordinates of  $P_2(x_2, x_2)$ 444  $y_2, z_2$ ) and  $P_3(x_3, y_3, z_3)$  could be computed following (3) and (4) which are 445 derived through geometric relations. Based on the straight-line flight 446 capability, the UAV performs obstacle avoidance trajectories composed of 447  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$ , and resumes the straight-line flight mission after the 448 obstacle avoidance mission is completed. Similarly, the coordinates of  $P_2$ 449 and  $P_3$  can be obtained following (5)-(6) or (7)-(8) when it is needed to 450 execute right-turn or leap forward collision avoidance strategies. The 451 corresponding collision avoidance paths are shown as Fig.6-b and Fig.6-c.

$$\begin{cases} \beta = tan^{-1}\left(\frac{d_x}{d}\right) \\ \theta = \alpha - \beta \\ d = 2 + w_o/2 \\ x_2 = x_1 + \sqrt{d_x^2 + d^2} \times \sin \theta \\ y_2 = y_1 + \sqrt{d_x^2 + d^2} \times \cos \theta \\ z_2 = z_1 \end{cases}$$
(3)

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha\right) - \beta \\ d = 2 + w_0/2 \\ x_3 = x_2 + \sqrt{d_x^2 + d^2} \times \cos \theta \qquad (4) \\ y_3 = y_2 + \sqrt{d_x^2 + d^2} \times \sin \theta \\ z_3 = z_2 \end{cases} \qquad (5)$$

$$\begin{cases} \beta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{d_x}{d}\right) \\ \theta = \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha\right) - \beta \\ d = 2 + w_0/2 \\ x_2 = x_1 + \sqrt{d_x^2 + d^2} \times \cos \theta \\ y_2 = y_1 + \sqrt{d_x^2 + d^2} \times \sin \theta \\ z_2 = z_1 \end{cases} \qquad (5)$$

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \alpha - \beta \\ d = 2 + w_0/2 \\ x_3 = x_2 + \sqrt{d_x^2 + d^2} \times \sin \theta \\ z_2 = z_1 \end{cases} \qquad (6)$$

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \alpha - \beta \\ d = 2 + w_0/2 \\ x_3 = z_2 \end{cases} \qquad (6)$$

$$\begin{cases} \theta = 2 + w_0/2 \\ x_2 = x_1 + d_z \end{cases} \qquad (7)$$

$$\begin{cases} d = 2 + w_0/2 \\ x_2 = x_1 + d_z \end{cases} \qquad (7)$$

$$\begin{cases} d = 2 + w_0/2 \\ x_3 = x_2 - d_z \end{cases} \qquad (8)$$





453 FIGURE 6 Geometric analysis for generating the avoidance paths when taking left turn

454 (a), right turn (b) and leap forward (c) avoidance strategies.

#### 455 **3.7.** Autonomous flight control method

456 For the sake of maintaining the expansion flexibility of the entire flight 457 control system without compromising its reliability and stability, the two-458 tier control system including a companion computer and a flight control 459 system is proposed. Companion computer running ROS acts as the main-460 controller and flight control system acts as the sub-controller. Specifically, 461 the main-controller with abundant peripheral interfaces is responsible for 462 executing high-level control procedures such as real-time data acquisition, 463 image processing, inference of CNN, and generation of attitude and 464 position control commands for the UAV. It communicates with other 465 devices that support ROS through the mechanisms of Topic and Service. 466 Due to the sustainable contribution from the open-source community, 467 ArduPilot has been proved to be a reliable flight control firmware for the 468 innovation and implementation of personalized application based on the 469 UAV platform. The sub-controller companioned with ArduPilot, as an 470 independent flight controller, adjusts the drone's attitude according to the 471 commands received from main-controller, ground-station or remote 472 controller via messages in MAVLINK protocol and broadcasts its real-473 time state parameters in the opposite direction. MAVROS acts as a bridge 474 connecting companion computer and flight controller by shouldering the 475 responsibility to do bidirectional conversion between ROS and 476 MAVLINK messages. This autonomous flight control method integrates 477 the flight control system, companion computer and Intel RealSense D435 478 into a seamless system.

479 In this work, we focus on the spatial position control of the UAV by 480 sending corresponding commands and 3D position coordinates to the 481 flight controller who completes attitude control through bottom driver. In 482 order to simplify the flight task, we divide it into four independent subtasks: 483 takeoff, flight straightly towards the task point, hover for a specific time 484 and autonomous landing. A common flight mission can be generated by 485 freely combining these four subtasks. Based on ROS and MAVROS, the 486 flight mission management system, we called TCS, is developed. It not 487 only assumes the duty of maintaining the stability of communication inside 488 the two-tires control system but also completes the scheduling of different 489 flight missions by continuously querying the execution progress of each 490 subtask and the entire task.

#### 491 4. Experiments and Results

492 The contents of our experiments are composed of three sections. Firstly, 493 an experiment was conducted to evaluate the performance of the obstacle 494 detection CNN model with the validation dataset. Secondly, to assess the 495 sensing range of depth camera and the predication accuracy of objects 496 profile and 3D spatial position, a real-world test was carried out. Thirdly, 497 we launched an experiment that combines simulation environment with 498 real object to examine the UAV's comprehensive capacities, including 499 environmental perception, obstacle avoidance and autonomous flight.

### 500 4.1. Performance of CNN model

501 Object detection accuracy, interference speed and generalization ability 502 are three important indicators that reflect the performance of the CNN 503 model. For our proposed solution, both the classification accuracy and 504 bounding box predication accuracy influence the precision of RGB-D 505 information fusion directly. In this study, we use Detection Accuracy (DA) 506 which represents the product of the two to assess the performance of the 507 CNN model.

508	We launched a series of repeated experiments with the validation dataset
509	to evaluate the detection accuracy as well as to assess the interference
510	speed. The details of test results are present in Tab. 1. Results suggest that
511	the average precision (AP) of each category exceeds 90% except Power-
512	line Pole/Tower. This is because we classified power-line poles and
513	power-line towers into the same category, although there are significant
514	differences in their shape features. Nevertheless, the mAP (means of APs)
515	of the five classes reaches 91.9% which shows that the CNN mode has
516	strong generalization ability. DA of each category is 74.3%, 77.8%, 66.0%,
517	72.2% and 86.9% respectively. The average DA of the five categories is
518	75.4%. Additionally, the average time cost for detecting single image is
519	about 53.33ms which means it can update the results of environmental
520	perception to the drone more than 18 times per second without considering
521	the communication delay.
522	

Figure 7 shows some object detection results in the validation dataset. It
can be found that the predicted bounding boxes can surround the obstacles
precisely with high confidences.

5	2	5
J	L	J

## Table 1 Results of object detection tests

	Person/%	Tree/%	Power-line Pole/Tower/%	Building/%	Drone/%
AP	92.4	92.2	87.9	90.3	96.7
IoU	80.4	84.4	75.1	79.9	89.9
DA	74.3	77.8	66.0	72.2	86.9

526 Note: AP stands for average classification precision for each class; IoU represents the predicting

- 5	$\mathbf{r}$	$\overline{7}$
J	L	1

accuracy of bounding box; DA indicates the Detection Accuracy combining the AP and IoU.



529

FIGURE 7. Examples of object detection results.

#### 530 4.2. Accuracy of RGB-D information fusion

531 Taking single tree (growing on the campus of China Agricultural 532 University, Beijing, 100083, China) whose real width is 3.20m and real 533 height is 2.85m as an example, we conducted a real-world experiment to 534 investigate the prediction accuracy of profile and position based on RGB-535 D information fusion. In this experiment, 14 sampling points with a step 536 length of 0.5m from the starting point (2.5m away from the center of the 537 trunk) to the end point (9.00m away from it) are set up. These parameters 538 are determined according the reliable sensing range of Intel RealSense 539 D435 Depth Camera. Each sampling-point's color and depth images are 540 presented in Fig.8, and the corresponding results of object detection and 541 RGB-D information extraction are shown in Fig.9.

542 As shown in Fig.8, when the relative distance between the tree and the 543 camera is less than 4.5m, measurement errors of the tree's width and height 544 are relatively large. This is because the tree is only partially visible. As the 545 relative distance increase, the complete image of the tree can be included 546 in the color image. When it is between 4.5m and 8.0m, the image of the 547 tree can be seen in both color images and depth images, and the results of 548 object detection and depth data extraction would be trustful. When the 549 relative distance is greater than 8.0m, the deep learning algorithm still can 550 effectively predict the tree's category and bounding box although the target 551 tree occupies a small area in the color image. However, depth data 552 accuracy deteriorates gradually as it becomes hard to effectively 553 distinguish the tree and background in the depth image.



#### FIGURE 8. Color and depth images of each sampling-point from #1 to #14.

554

555

556 More details about the performance of object detection and RGB-D 557 information extraction can be found in Fig.9. We use Confidence Score 558 (CS) to represent the probability that the model predicts the target category 559 as a tree. The average CS of the 14 sample-points is 0.99. This means that 560 the change of distance has little effect on the accuracy of deep learning 561 object detection. In terms of the results of RGB-D information extraction, 562 the average error of depth data, width and height is -0.77m, -0.67m and -563 0.65m respectively. However, when the camera is between 4.5m 564 (sampling-point 5) and 8.0m (sampling-point 12) away from the trees, the

errors are -0.53m, -0.26m and -0.24m separately. The results indicate thatthe measured data is generally smaller to the true value.

567 Particularly, from sampling-point 1 to 10, the error of depth data is 568 negative, but its absolute value decreases with the increase of distance. 569 From sampling-point 11 to 14, the error of depth data becomes positive, 570 and it increases in line with the increase of distance. Additionally, from 571 sampling-point 1 to 8, the prediction errors of width and height are 572 negative, and its absolute value decreases as the distance increase. While, 573 from sampling-point 9 to 14, the prediction errors of both width and height 574 fluctuate little, and their average errors stabilize at -0.05m and 0.03m 575





To sum up, the prediction accuracy of profile and location of the object depends heavily on the relative distance between the camera and object. Specifically, when the relative distance is 7.5m, object detection precision and 3D information acquisition performance can reach the optimal state at the same time.

# 583 4.3. Simulation flight experiments

576

577

584 In order to verify the UAV's abilities of environmental perception, 585 collision avoidance, and autonomous flight, we proposed a safe and

586	effective method as combining the simulation environment with the real
587	world. In the simulation environment, a virtual UAV was controlled by the
588	TCS to execute a straight-line flight mission. Meanwhile, customized
589	scripts assume the burden of sensing the surrounding environment and
590	generating the avoidance strategy and flight path when necessary. In real
591	world, we used the Intel RealSense D435 to feed the color and depth
592	images of a real single tree into the CNN model. When the prediction
593	results suggest that the tree is on the flight path and there are potential
594	collision risks, the UAV will automatically interrupt the current straight-
595	line flight mission and perform the obstacle avoidance procedure. After
596	bypassing the tree in the simulation environment, the UAV will
597	automatically resume former straight-line flight mission. During the tests,
598	we manually adjust the FOV of Intel RealSense D435 to trigger the left-
599	turn, right-turn or leap forward collision avoidance procedure respectively.
600	The results of object detection, 3D spatial position extraction, profile
601	prediction and the whole flight trajectories under three different
602	circumstances are comprehensively presented in Fig.10. It can be found
603	that the simulated flight trajectories are consistent with the anticipate
604	tracks which have been introduced in Fig.5. The experimental results
605	showed that the proposed solution can automatically control the UAV to
606	perform autonomous flight and obstacle avoidance tasks according to the
607	obstacle's specific attributes.



FIGURE 10. Simulation results of avoidance strategy and flight path under three different circumstances. (a): Left-turn. (b): Right-turn. (c) Leap forward. The tree size and calculated position offset have been deliberately magnified by 10 times in order to make the flight trajectory clearer.

### 613 5. Discussion

608

614 Based on the experimental results, to some extent, our proposed systems 615 and methods of environmental perception, collision avoidance and 616 autonomous flight control have improved the UAV's automation level and 617 flight safety.

618 Having the knowledge of what the ahead obstacle is fundamental but 619 important for the UAV's flight safety and working efficiency. Comparing 620 with traditional methods of obstacle detection, we introduced a depth 621 camera to sense the flight environment with higher information 622 dimensions. The state-of-the-art deep-learning based object detection 623 algorithms was adopt to understand the color images of the real flight scene. 624 Object detection results indicated the CNN model can precisely predict the 625 obstacle's category and bounding box with the AP of 91.9% within 626 53.33ms. Although the precision and speed maybe not good enough in some rigorous conditions, but it has significantly improved the plant 627 628 protection UAV's environmental perception abilities given the facts that

the categories of obstacles in farmland are generally definite and theirdistributions are relatively independent.

631 Object's profile and 3D spatial position can be extracted by fusing the 632 RGB-D information. However, test results suggested that the measuring 633 errors is not a constant, but a dynamic value. This phenomenon could be 634 caused by many reasons, such as the distance between the camera and the 635 object, the limits of the sensing rang of the depth camera, the changes of 636 light intensity, the differences of observation angle, etc. In this work, the 637 errors of RGB-D information extraction can reach the minimum when the 638 distance is 7.5m. Nevertheless, this distance is very valuable for the drone 639 to take appropriate measures to avoid collision when obstacles appear, 640 especially considering the fact that the normal flight speed of the plant 641 protection drone is generally less than 5m/s.

Although not considering the influence of many practical factors, the
simulation results still verified the effectiveness of our proposed solution.
By applying a depth camera and deep learning, the drone can avoid
obstacles autonomously based on the knowledge of obstacle's attributes.

#### 646 6. Conclusion

647 In this paper, a novel solution for enhancing the UAV's environmental 648 perception and autonomous obstacle avoidance abilities was proposed. 649 Taking advantages of deep-learning based object detection algorithm and 650 Intel RealSense D435 depth camera, we introduced a new tactic to obtain 651 the obstacle's classification, profile and 3D spatial position via 652 comprehensively integrating RGB-D information. According to the 653 obstacle's specific properties, we elaborated the methods of generating the 654 optimal collision avoidance strategy and planning the distance-minimized 655 flight path. Besides, customized scripts and TCS were developed to 656 improve the UAV's autonomous flight capability. For evaluating the

657	performance of presented solution, a series of experiments were carried
658	out. Results indicated that DA of CNN model is 75.4% and it costs about
659	53.33ms for processing single. Additionally, when the camera is between
660	4.5m and 8.0m away from the tree, the errors of depth data, width and
661	height are -0.53m, -0.26m and -0.24m respectively. Comprehensive
662	simulation flight experiment implied that our proposed solution can
663	significantly improve the UAV's environmental perception, obstacle
664	avoidance and autonomous flight abilities. Furthermore, this study is
665	helpful to promote the implements of UAVs in broader applications.
666	However, there are still some limitations of this work particularly when
667	considering the complexity of unstructured farmland environment, the
668	dynamically changing environmental parameters and the robustness of the
669	control algorithms. In the future work, we will continuously optimize of
670	details of our solution and make it more applicable in actual applications.
671	Acknowledgments
672	This work was supported by The National Key Research and
673	Development program of China (2016YFD0200700).
674	References
675	Adrian, G., Daniel, C., Manuel, M., Alberto, B., 2020. Autonomous navigation for UAVs
676	managing motion and sensing uncertainty. Robot. Auton. Syst, 126. DOI:
677	10.1016/j.robot.2020.103455.
678	Ahmad, F., Qiu, B., Dong, X., Ma, J., Huang X., Ahmed, S., Chandio, FA., 2020. Effect of
679	operational parameters of UAV sprayer on spray deposition pattern in target and off-target
680	zones during outer field weed control application. Comput. Electron. Agric.172. DOI:
681	10.1016/j.compag.2020.105350.
682	Basso, M., de Freitas, EP., 2020. A UAV guidance system using crop row detection and line
682	
085	follower algorithms. J. Intell. Robot. Syst. 97(3-4),605-621. DOI: 10.1007/s10846-019-

- Feng, A., Zhou, J., Earl D, V., Kenneth A, S., Zhang, M., 2020. Yield estimation in cotton
  using UAV-based multi-sensor imagery. Biosyst. Eng. 193, 101-114. DOI: 1
- 687 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2020.02.014.
- 688 Fernando, V., Dmitry, B., Kevin, P., John, W., Flipe G., 2018. A novel methodology for
- 689 improving plant pest surveillance in vineyards and crops using UAV-based hyperspectral
- 690 and spatial data. Sensors. 18(01), 460-466. DOI: 10.3390/s18010260.
- Floreano, D., Wood, R.J., 2015. Science, technology and the future of small autonomous
  drones. Nature. 521, 460-466. DOI: 10.1038/nature14542.
- Jongho, P., Namhoon, C., 2020. Collision Avoidance of Hexacopter UAV Based on LiDAR
  Data in Dynamic Environment. Remote Sens. 12(06). DOI: 10.3390/rs12060975.
- 695 Liao, J., Zang, Y., Luo, X., Zhou, Z., Lan, Y., Zang, Y., Gu, X., Xu, W., Hewitt, A., 2019.
- 696 Optimization of variables for maximizing efficacy and efficiency in aerial spray
- 697 application to cotton using unmanned aerial systems. *Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng.* 12(2), 10698 17. DOI: 10.25165/j.ijabe.20191202.4288.
- Lin, T., Marie, M., Belongie, S., Hays, J., Perona, P., Ramanan, D., Dolla, P., Zitnick, C.,
- 2014. Microsoft COCO: Common Objects in Context. *ECCV 2014. Lecture Notes in* Computer Science. 8693, 740-755. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-10602-1

Liu, Z., Shi, S., Duan, Q., Zhang, W., Zhao, P., 2019. Salient object detection for RGB-D
image by single stream recurrent convolution neural network. *Neurocomputing*. 363, 46-

- 704 57. DOI: 10.1016/j.neucom.2019.07.012.
- Liu, W., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Szegedy, C., Reed, S., Fu, C., Berg, A.C., 2016. SSD:
  Single Shot MultiBox Detector. in *Proc. Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ECCV)*, Amsterdam,
  The Netherlands. 21-37.
- Loghmani, M.R., Plananmente, M., Caputo, B., Vincze, M., 2019. Recurrent convolutional
  fusion for RGB-D object recognition. *IEEE Rob. Autom. Lett.* 4(3), 2878-2885. DOI:
  10.1109/LRA.2019.2921506.
- 711 Minaeian, S., Liu, J., Song, Y., 2018. Effective and efficient detection of moving targets from
- a UAV's camera. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*. 19(2), 497 506. DOI: 10.1109/TITS.2017.2782790.
- 714 Mohta, K., Watterson, M., Mulgaonkar, Y., Liu, S., Qu, C., Makineni, A., Saulnier, K., Sun,
- 715 K., Zhu, A., Delmerico. J., Karydis, K., Atanasov, N., Loianno, G., Scaramuzza, D.,
- 716 Daniilidis, K., Taylor, C., Kumar, V., 2018. Fast, autonomous flight in GPS-denied and
- 717 cluttered environments", J. Field Robot. 35(1), 101-120. DOI: 10.1002/rob.21774.

718	Perez-Grau, F., Ragel, R., Caballero, F., Viguria, A., Ollero, A., 2018. An architecture for
719	robust UAV navigation in GPS-denied areas. J. Field Robot. 35(1), 121-145. DOI:
720	10.1002/rob.21757.
721	Redmon, J., Farhadi, A., 2018. YOLOv3: An Incremental Improvement. in Proc. IEEE. Int.
722	Conf. Compu. Vis. Patt. Recog. (CVPR), Workshops, Salt Lake City, UT. 625-633.
723	Shao, S., Peng, Y., He, C., Du, Y., 2018. Efficient path planning for UAV formation via
724	comprehensively improved particle swarm optimization. ISA Trans. DOI:
725	10.1016/j.isatra.2019.08.018.
726	Tetila, EC., Machado, BB., Menezes, GK., Oliveira, AD., Alvarez, M., Amorim, WP., Belete,
727	NAD., da Silva, GG., Pistori, H., 2020. Automatic Recognition of Soybean Leaf Diseases
728	Using UAV Images and Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. IEEE Geosci. Remote
729	Sens. Lett., 17(5), 903-907. DOI: 10.1109/LGRS.2019.2932385.
730	Weiss, K., Khoshgoftaar, T., Wang, D., 2016. A survey of transfer learning. J Big Data. 3(9).
731	DOI: 10.1186/s40537-016-0043-6.
732	Xu, Y., Xue, X., Sun, Z., Chang, C., Gu, W., Chen, C., Jin, Y., Peng, B., 2019. Online spraying
733	quality assessment system of plant protection unmanned aerial vehicle based on Android
734	client. Comput. Electron. Agric. 166, 1-8. DOI: 10.1016/j.compag.2019.104938.
735	Xue, X., Lan, Y., Sun, Z., Chang, C., Hoffmann, W.C., 2016.Develop an unmanned aerial
736	vehicle based automatic aerial spraying system. Comput. Electron. Agric. 128, 58-66. DOI:
737	10.1016/j.compag.2016.07.022.
738	Yang, Z., Xiao, Y., Yang, Z., Wu, Y., Qi, L., 2019. A real-time route planning method based
739	on morphological segmentation for plant protection UAVs. 2019 ASABE Annual
740	International Meeting. DOI: 10.13031/aim.201900490.
741	Yann, L., Yoshua, B., Geoffrey, H., 2018. Deep Learning. Nature. 521, 436-444. DOI:
742	10.1038/nature14539.
743	Zia, S., Yuksel, B., Yuret, D., Yemez, Y., 2017. RGB-D object recognition using deep
744	convolutional neural networks. in Proc. IEEE. Int. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ICCV), Venice,
745	Italy. 896-903. DOI: 10.1016/j.patcog.2017.07.026.